# Public Health Reports

Vol. 56 ● JANUARY 31, 1941 ● No. 5

# PRINCIPAL PROVISIONS OF SMALLPOX VACCINATION LAWS AND REGULATIONS IN THE UNITED STATES

By WILLIAM FOWLER, United States Public Health Service

There is here presented a comparative analysis of the principal provisions of the existing State laws and health department regulations pertaining to the requirement of vaccination against smallpox. Also included in the study are the laws and regulations of the District of Columbia, Alaska, Hawaii, Puerto Rico, and the United States.1 Certain provisions, however, contained in the vaccination laws and regulations have not been dealt with herein. These provisions relate to such matters as free vaccination, vaccinating officers or physicians, records and reports of vaccination, vaccination certificates, vaccination history in reporting smallpox cases, vaccination of exposed persons. and the preparation, procuring, distribution, sale, storage, use, etc., of vaccine. The list of citations to the statutes, however, includes all statutory provisions found which expressly relate in any way to vaccination regardless of whether used in this analysis or not. In six States-Arkansas, Florida, Missouri, Nebraska, Nevada, and Oklahoma—no statutes were found which made express or specific reference in any manner to vaccination.

The data are set forth in nine tables, the headings of which are as follows: Vaccination of general population or of particular groups thereof; vaccination as prerequisite to school attendance (regardless of presence or absence of smallpox); exclusion from school of unvaccinated persons during prevalence or threatened prevalence of smallpox; vaccination of employees; vaccination of inmates of institutions; statutory prohibitions relative to vaccination; miscellaneous provisions regarding vaccination; definitions of vaccination; and methods of vaccination. These tables are preceded by a summary table in which are listed the States that have any provisions under any particular table.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The search for the laws has included all of the regular and special sessions for 1939 and the following 1940 sessions: Regular sessions in Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, New Jersey (to July 2), New York, Rhode Island, South Carolina, and Virginia; special sessions in Louisiana, Nebraska, Pennsylvania, and Vermont.

### VACCINATION OF GENERAL POPULATION OR OF PARTICULAR GROUPS THEREOF

In this table there are set forth the various provisions relative to the requirement of, or the authority to require, general vaccination. The vaccination of children is required in Hawaii, Kentucky, Maryland, and Puerto Rico. Also, Kentucky has a provision requiring that unvaccinated persons coming into the State to abide or become citizens procure vaccination. Puerto Rico and South Carolina have requirements governing the compulsory vaccination and revaccination of persons generally, but the South Carolina provisions pertain only to persons not residing within an incorporated city or town.

Authority to take action, under varying conditions, relative to general vaccination is conferred in 12 States (Alabama, Connecticut, Georgia, Kentucky, Massachusetts, Mississippi, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, and Wyoming). The Pennsylvania provisions pertain to second- and third-class cities. In all of these States except Wyoming local authorities are given the power. In South Carolina, in addition to the local authorities, the State board of health is also given authority, while in Wyoming such authority is conferred solely on the State board of health. The language used in Colorado, Michigan, and North Carolina permits, and in New Mexico requires, the making of provision for the vaccination of inhabitants, and miscellaneous provisions having reference to general vaccination are also found in Kansas and South Carolina.

In Connecticut, Hawaii, Maryland, Massachusetts, South Carolina, and Tennessee there are provisions regarding exemption from, or post-ponement of, vaccination.

# VACCINATION AS PREREQUISITE TO SCHOOL ATTENDANCE (REGARDLESS OF PRESENCE OR ABSENCE OF SMALLPOX)

This table deals with those statutes and regulations which require, or authorize the requirement of, vaccination for school attendance. Those requirements or authorizations which are effective only when smallpox is present or threatened have not been included in this table but will be found in the table "Exclusion from school of unvaccinated persons during prevalence or threatened prevalence of smallpox." The language used in the statutes and regulations does not always in terms require, or authorize the requirement of, vaccination, but in classifying the provisions regard has, of course, been had to their effect. For example, in New Jersey the language is "A board of education may exclude" a pupil or teacher not successfully vaccinated. For the exact phraseology in any particular jurisdiction recourse must be had to the laws or regulations themselves.

Vaccination is required as a prerequisite to school attendance, regardless of the presence or absence of smallpox, in 12 States (Arkansas, Kentucky, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Mexico, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Virginia, and West Virginia) and in Alaska, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico. In Alaska there is the qualification that vaccination is required if, in the judgment of the Territorial health officer, it is necessary for the community's welfare, while in Virginia the operation of the law may be suspended. Pupils 2 are referred to in Alaska. the District of Columbia, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Mexico, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, and West Virginia; pupils and teachers in Kentucky and Virginia; pupils, teachers, and employees in Arkansas; pupils, teachers, employees, and caretakers in Puerto Rico: and pupils and persons in New York. With respect to the schools covered, public schools are mentioned in the District of Columbia, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Mexico, Virginia, and West Virginia; public and private schools in Arkansas, Kentucky, New Hampshire, Puerto Rico, and Rhode Island; public, private, parochial, and other schools in Pennsylvania; any school in the State in South Carolina; schools in cities having 50,000 or more inhabitants in New York; and schools in incorporated municipalities and school districts outside incorporated cities in Alaska.

Five States (Connecticut, Georgia, Maine, New Jersey, and Oregon) have statutes empowering school authorities to make vaccination a condition precedent to school attendance. Pupils are referred to in Connecticut, Georgia, and Oregon; pupils and teachers in New Jersey; and persons in Maine. In Connecticut public schools are named, while in Georgia and Oregon the schools are those coming under the particular school board. An Ohio statute authorizes regulations by district boards of education to secure the vaccination of pupils, and, while this statute does not in terms authorize the requirement of vaccination, a regulation under it requiring vaccination has been upheld. South Carolina has a law empowering city or town school authorities to require vaccination, but there are also mandatory vaccination requirements for school attendance in this State.

There are provisions regarding exemption from vaccination in 11 States (Arkansas, Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Virginia, and West Virginia) and Alaska. The grounds for exemption are physical disability or physical condition in Arkansas, Connecticut, Massachusetts, New Mexico, Pennsylvania, and Virginia; unfit subject for vaccination in New Hampshire, New Jersey, and Rhode Island; religious principles in Alaska; opposition to vaccination by the

<sup>3</sup> The term "pupils" has been used generally throughout the analysis even though the statutes or regulations may employ the terms "child" or "children."

parent or guardian in Maine; and impossibility or impropriety of successful vaccination or sufficient reason why vaccination should not be done in West Virginia.

EXCLUSION FROM SCHOOL OF UNVACCINATED PERSONS DURING PREVA-LENCE OR THREATENED PREVALENCE OF SMALLPOX

As the heading indicates, this table treats of those provisions of the statutes and regulations whose effect is to require, or authorize, the exclusion of unvaccinated persons from school when smallpox is present or threatened. Such matters as the conditions which must exist before the exclusionary requirement or power is called into action and the areas involved vary considerably in the different States and will be found set forth in the table. As to the States concerned, six (Arizona, Kansas, Louisiana, Nebraska, New York, and Wisconsin) require exclusion, while five (Iowa, Minnesota, Montana, North Carolina, and South Carolina) have provisions authorizing exclusion. With respect to New York and South Carolina it may be stated that they also have provisions requiring vaccination as a prerequisite to school attendance, the New York requirement, however, being applicable only in cities of 50,000 or more. Regarding the persons to be excluded, pupils are referred to in Arizona, Iowa, Louisiana, Minnesota, and North Carolina; pupils and teachers in Kansas and Nebraska; pupils and persons in New York; pupils, teachers, and attendants in South Carolina; pupils, teachers, and persons frequenting schoolhouse in Montana; and inhabitants of municipality and nonresidents in Wisconsin.

New Jersey has a statute relative to exclusion, but again it should be noted that this State also authorizes the requirement of vaccination as a condition precedent to school attendance. In Oregon power is given to a board of school directors to decide how far revaccination shall be required if a smallpox case has occurred in the city or district. There is also a statutory provision in Texas bearing on exclusion.

As to exemption from vaccination, a Minnesota statute states that no rule shall require the vaccination of a child whose physician certifies that by reason of his physical condition vaccination would be dangerous.

#### VACCINATION OF EMPLOYEES

Varying provisions pertaining to the vaccination of specified employees are found in nine States (Colorado, Connecticut, Kentucky, Maine, Massachusetts, Minnesota, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, and Virginia) and Puerto Rico and the United States. Some of these provisions require vaccination (Colorado, Kentucky, Maine, Minnesota, New Jersey, Puerto Rico, and the United States), some authorize

171 January 31, 1941

the requirement of vaccination (Massachusetts and Virginia), while others do neither but, nevertheless, relate to the vaccination of employees (Connecticut and Pennsylvania). The employees referred to differ considerably, probationer nurses being mentioned in Colorado; paper-mill employees in Connecticut and Maine; minors and employees generally in Kentucky; various employees in Massachusetts, Pennsylvania, and Puerto Rico; officers and employees in State institutions in Minnesota; certain dairy employees in New Jersey; certain laborers in Virginia; and quarantine station personnel in the United States. In Massachusetts there is a provision regarding the exemption of children because of physical condition.

#### VACCINATION OF INMATES OF INSTITUTIONS

This table shows eight States (Kentucky, Massachusetts, Mississippi, Montana, New York, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, and South Carolina) as having statutes or regulations relative to the vaccination of inmates of institutions. Of these, six (Kentucky, Mississippi, Montana, New York, North Carolina, and South Carolina) may be classified as requiring that the inmates of the institutions specified be vaccinated, but in North Carolina the statute applies when smallpox appears. In Massachusetts vaccination may be required, and in Pennsylvania the provision relates to the regulations of health departments of first-class cities covering the vaccination of certain inmates. There are considerable differences in the various provisions with respect to the institutions specified, but, since the institutions are listed in the table, they will not be repeated here. Massachusetts has a provision for exemption from vaccination based on the physical condition of a child, and in New York the requirement of vaccination may be waived in an emergency.

STATUTORY PROHIBITIONS RELATIVE TO VACCINATION MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS REGARDING VACCINATION

#### DEFINITIONS OF VACCINATION

#### METHODS OF VACCINATION

These tables classify the remaining data according to the respective headings and do not require further analysis or discussion.

#### CITATIONS TO SMALLPOX VACCINATION LAWS

State	Citations
Alabama	Michie's Code of 1928, secs. 2032, 2047; 1936 Cumulative Supplement to Michie's Code of 1928, secs. 1058, 1093.
Alaska	Compiled Laws, 1933, secs. 1663, 1677 (as amended by c 30, act Mar. 10, 1937), 1678, 1682, 1684.
Arizona	Revised Code, 1928, sec. 2693.
California	Deering's School Code, 1937, sec. 3.60; Laws 1939, c. 60, secs. 204, 16001621.
Colorado	1935 Statutes Annotated, c. 78, secs. 67, 69.
Connecticut	General Statutes, Revision of 1930, secs. 2392, 2430, 5202; 1935 Cumulative Supplement to General Statutes, sec.
Dolomono	261c.
Delaware District of Columbia	Revised Code, 1935, secs. 746, 774. Code, 1929 edition, title 7, sec. 242.
Georgia Hawaii	Laws 1937, Act 462, Mar. 30, 1937, sec. 1. Revised Laws, 1935, secs. 1115—1124.
Idaho	Code, 1932, secs. 38–104, 38–1001—38–1003.
Illinois	Jones Statutes Annotated, secs. 33.168, 126.184.
Indiana	Burns' Statutes Annotated, 1933, sec. 35–712.
Iowa	Code, 1939, secs. 2191, 2220, 6846.
Kansas	General Statutes, 1935, sec. 65–119.
Kentucky	Baldwin's 1936 Revision of Carroll's Statutes, secs. 2052, 2054a-12, 2862, 4608—4614.
Louisiana	Dart's General Statutes, 1939, sec. 3361.
Maine	Revised Statutes, 1930, c. 19, sec. 44; Laws 1933, c. 1, secs. 115 (as amended by Laws 1935, c. 84, sec. 9),
Maryland	163—169; Laws 1935, c. 84, sec. 12. Flack's Annotated Code, 1939, art. 43, secs. 35, 67—75, 80; art. 77, sec. 114.
Massachusetts	Annotated Laws, c. 76, sec. 15; c. 111, secs. 5, 181—183.
Michigan	Statutes Annotated, secs. 14.41—14.43, 14.106, 14.141, 14.301.
Minnesota	Mason's Statutes, 1927, sec. 5345.
Mississippi	Code of 1930, secs. 4865, 4878; 1938 Supplement to Code of 1930, sec. 1951.
Montana	Revised Codes, 1935, sec. 2481.
New Hampshire	Public Laws, 1926, c. 123, sec. 1 (as amended by Laws 1929, c. 139); c. 133, secs. 1, 3.
New Jersey	Statutes Annotated, Permanent edition, secs. 18: 14-52, 18: 14-53, 26: 4-6-26:4-8, 26: 4-98, 26: 4-99, 26: 4-118.
New Mexico	1938 Supplement to Statutes Annotated, 1929 Compilation, sec. 110-348 (7)—(10).
New York	Baldwin's Consolidated Laws, Lifetime edition, 1938, Public health law, secs. 25, 310, 311.
North Carolina	Michie's Code of 1939, secs. 2796, 7162—7164.
North Dakota	1913—1925 Supplement to 1913 Compiled Laws, secs. 425a1, 425a2.
Ohio	Page's General Code Annotated, secs. 4449, 7686.
regon	Compiled Laws Annotated, secs. 99—311, 111—3012.
Pennsylvania	Purdon's Statutes Annotated—Permanent edition, title 53, secs. 2181, 3800-12, 9062, 9069, 12198-2309; title 71, sec. 536.
uerto Rico	Laws 1912, Act 81, secs. 23, 29.
	General Laws, 1938, c. 198, sec. 8; c. 256, secs. 28—31.
outh Carolina	Code of Laws, 1932, secs. 1503, 5009—5013, 5016, 5023, 5028, 5043, 5051, 5066, 5075, 7360—7364.
outh Dakota	Code of 1939, secs. 27.0104, 27.2201, 27.2202, 27.9938.
'ennessee	Michie's Code of 1938, secs. 325(1) 5798, 5799.
exas	Vernon's Annotated Revised Civil Statutes, art. 4477,

#### CITATIONS TO SMALLPOX VACCINATION LAWS—continued

State	Citations ·
Utah Vermont	Revised Statutes, 1933, sec. 35–3–10. Public Laws, 1933, sec. 5299.
Virginia	Michie's Code of 1936, secs. 690, 691, 1505, 1531; 1940 Cumulative Supplement to Michie's Code of 1936, sec. 1493.
Washington	Remington's Revised Statutes Annotated, secs. 4805, 6093, 6094.
West Virginia	Michie's Code of 1937, secs. 1285, 1286.
Wisconsin	Statutes, 1939, secs. 97.68, 143.13.
Wyoming	Revised Statutes, 1931, sec. 103-212.
United States	Code, 1934 edition, title 42, secs. 141—148.

#### Summary table

State    Vaccination of general population of operation appression of general population of particulation of a priculation of particulation of special population of particulation of presence of small-of pox)    Alabama										
Alaska	State	tion of general popula- tion or of particu- lar groups	tion as pre- requisite to school at- tendance (regardless of presence or absence of small-	from school of unvacci- nated per- sons during prevalence or threat- ened prev- alence of	nation of em- ploy-	nation of in- mates of in- stitu-	tory prohi- bitions relative to vac- cina-	laneous provi- sions re- garding vacci-	Defini- tions of vacci-	ods of vacci-
Alaska	Alabama		1	l		1	l			
Arkansas         X<		^	<del>x</del>							
Arkansas				X			X			
Colorado			x	<del></del>			1		X	
Colorado							X	X	l	
Delaware   District of Columbia   X		X			X	l				
District of Columbia	Connecticut	<b>X</b> .	X		X		l		l	
Disa   Corogia   X	Delaware							X		
Hawaii		l	l _		1			ł		
Hawaii		<u></u>	) <u>X</u>					<u></u>		<u></u>
Towa		l X	X					X		ΙX
Kansas		l X								X
Kentucky				I X ∣				_ A	[	
Douisiana		♣		_ A		<del></del>			- <b></b>	
Maine         X         X         X           Maryland         X         X         X         X           Massachusetts         X         X         X         X           Minnesota         X         X         X         X           Mississippi         X         X         X         X           Nebraska         X         X         X         X           New Hangshire         X         X         X         X           New Jersey         X         X         X         X           New Mexico         X         X         X         X           New York         X         X         X         X           North Dakota         X         X         X         X           Oregon         X         X         X         X         X           Pennsylvania         X         X         X         X         X           Pennsylvania         X         X         X         X         X           South Carolina         X         X         X         X         X         X           South Dakota         X         X         X         X	Kentucky			<del>-</del>	Α.	Α.				
Maryland         X<	Louisiana			_ A	~~~~					
Michigan         X<		<del>V</del>	₩		Α.					
Michigan         X<		♦	♀		Ÿ	<del></del>				
Minnesota.         X		Ŷ	1 4							
Mississippi         X <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td> </td><td>X</td><td>X</td><td></td><td>X</td><td>X</td><td></td><td></td></td<>				X	X		X	X		
Montana		× ×				X				
Nevada				X		X				
New Hampshire				X					X	
New Hampshire									X	
North Carolina			X							
North Carolina			X	X	X					
North Carolina	New Mexico	X	X			<u></u>		<u></u>		
North Dakota.		<u></u>	X	<u>X</u>		X		X		X
Note		X		X		X.				
Oregon         X <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td><del></del></td> <td></td>									<del></del>	
South Dakota			A A						Λ.	
South Dakota			₩		<del>-</del>	▼		·····		
South Dakota		<b>÷</b>	♦		Ŷ			Ŷ	X	×
South Dakota		Λ.	- ♦							
South Dakota		~~~~~	🕏	×		X		X		
Tennessee			Δ.	- 1			X	x		
Texas		¥								
Visah				X						
Virginia							X			
Washington         X           West Virginia         X           Wisconsin         X           Wyoming         X		X	X		X					
Wisconsin X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X							X			
Wisconsin X			X							
Wyoming X				X						
		X			<u></u>					
					X			X		
	_	l l		·						

Vaccination of general population or of particular groups thereof

	Miscellaneous provisions	Boope of provision	Town efter or country boards	of health may make suitable provisions of incutation of inhabitants with cowport under direction of local board of health or health officer.			
		S Or R1	ď	)			
	May be required	Scope of authority	May provide for system of compulsory vaccination and enforcement of same.	May adont such measures for		hatch, on approach to health officer or board of health or by physician employed by teath officer or board, unless, in another physician's opinion, it would not be prudent on secont of sixtness.	当 
	May t	Authority vested in-	City and town councils.	Town, city, and bor-	ough beath officers		County and munidpal authorities.
.		8 00 18.1	202	00			<u> </u>
•	Required	Boope of requirement					
	Req	Applicable to—					
		8 04 173					
		State	Alabama	Oonnecticut			godine of the control

Should smallpox show a tendency to become epidemic, a general and thorough vaccination should be recommended and insisted upon by a municipal or county board of health or health

	•	Ohildren	Bvery child to be taken for accordant on a supported vaccination to appointed vaccination whith no months after birth or at earliest opportunity thereafter. Officer may postpone vaccination should he deem child to be in unfit state.	<u> </u>			, a
Kentro	α	Ohildeen	Paranta guardiana and oth-	<u>:                                    </u>			
	1		ers having care, custody, or control of children shall have them vaccinated and shall have children born hereafter vaccinated within 12 months after birth or after coming under their care, custody, or				
	<b>14</b>		Every child shall be vaccinated before becoming 1	$\dot{\parallel}$			<del></del>
	20	Onvaccinated persons coming into State to abide or become atti- zens and unvaccinat- ed children under their care or control.	Sucin persons entering State . shall procure vaccination of themselves and said ohil- dren within 6 months after coming into State.	<del>†                                    </del>			
				<b>0</b> 2	City councils and town boards of trustees.	Empowered to make such or-dinances and regulations, with fines and penalties attached, as will secure vaccination of all inhabitants of oftics and towns.	1
Maryland	<b>20</b>	Children	It is duty of parent and guardian to have he solid vaccinated within 12 months after birth, if in proper condition, or as soon thereafter as practicable.	<del> </del>			!

Statute or regulation.

Vaccination of general population or of particular groups thereof—Continued

Miscellaneous provisions	Scope of provision	Pollowing resease not subbas	to foregoing: (1) Person over 21 presenting certificate of a probate court register that probate court register that probate court register that and (2) child presenting certificate of registered physician, designated by parent or guardian, that he has at rine of giving certificate personally examined child's physical condition is such that his health will be entable condition while such condition courtines.		State department of public health shall make suitable provision for incentation of inhabitants of State with cowpox vaccine under directions of district health officer.
	s or R	a	2 0	,	<b>00</b>
May be required	Scope of authority	Shell saturates for the second	vaccination and revaccination of all inhabitants of their towns, if in their opinion it is necessary for public health or safety.	<u> </u>	tion, and to enforce same as provided.
May t	Authority vested in—	Transfer of	P. Control	County boards of supervisors.	
	S Or R	a	2	702	
Required	Scope of requirement	Parent or guardian shall cause person to be vaccinated before November 1 of each year.			
Req	Applicable to—	Unvaccinated persons, other than infants, under parent's or guardian's control or care.			
	8 9 K	ω			
	State	Maryland- (Continued).	Mahican	Mississuppi	New Mexico

odty.	S T	28 98	papag
f any			ğ
sealth o		븘부	5 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
og Jo	100	-	
30erd	such regu	stons habit	neces bealt
_			

# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	<b>a</b> .						
00			<u> </u>		····	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
May cause all persons in city, town, or village limits to be vaccinated.	May take such measures as it may deem necessary to prevent spread of smallpox by issuing order requiring all persons in city or any part thereof to be vaccinated within such time as it shall mondify.	May take measures for general and gratuitous vacci- nation as in its opinion pro- tection of public health may require.					
S City, town, or incorporated village governing body.	B Department of public health in second-class cities.	S Board of health of third-class cities.					
			Inconstitution of vaccine virus is made obligatory and binding during such period and under such forms and inferval of time as is determined by director of such accordant of vaccined to a vaccine of the constitution.	age period 3 months to 1 year; furnsuccessful it shall be repeated at end of a month and, if second operation is unsuccessful, shall be repeated at end of the year; children who have had	smallox during this period need not be revaccinated. Revaccination required at age 7 years; if unsuccessful it shall be repeated at end of a month and, if still unsuccessful, seall be done from ninth to tenth year.	Revaccination required 8 years after last vaccination and, if unsuccessful, again at	end of a month. Revacchation required only on outbreak of smallpox in island which appears to threaten epidemic.
	:		All inhabitants of island.			Successfully vaccinated persons under 20 years.	Persons over 25 years
<del></del>	<del>-                                    </del>		<b>20</b> Δ	1		#i	p#
North Carolina.	Pennsylvania		Puerto Rico				

Vaccination of general population or of particular groups thereof—Continued

Miscellaneous provisions	Scope of provision	R R R	State board of health has control in matters of vaccination and revacination of all persons not residing within any incorporated city or town and shall promulgate regulations for vaccination of such persons containing provisions similar to those of see, Bodo of code spplying to ordinance, but requirements may be modi-
	ಬ್ ಕ್ಷಿಚ	ω <b>ω</b>	<b>20</b>
May be required	Scope of authority	May provide by ordinance for vaccination and revaccination and revaccination and revaccination of all citizens and restrained by sician's certificate that vaccination would be danger out to health. Such ordinances shall establish periods of time, satisfactory to State board of health, within which vaccination and revaccination and revaccination and revaccination of indigent at city's or town's expense; and shall establish permits a city's or town's expense; and shall establish penalties by quaranteer some convector of neglect some convetted of persons on preglets.	or returns to obey ordinances (Code, sec. 6009). Empowered to order and enforce vectoration of all such persons as it may consider necessary for preservation of public health, but any exposed person refusing vaccination shall not be forchly vaccinated but, in board's or seart's discretion, quarantical and guarded until incubation period has passed
May b	Authority vested in-	Council of incorporated city or town [Code, sec. 5009].	State board of health and its duly sppointed representatives
	S to	702	<b>20</b>
Required	Scope of requirement	Vaccination or revaccination required unless it can be proved to entire satisfaction of sanitary authorities that said persons have been successfully vaccinated or revacinated within 3 years before outbreak.  Vaccination and revaccination by duly appointed agents of State board of health required during first, sixth, and fifteenth year of age, vaccination forthwith required of all perons who have never been vaccinated, or shall be exposed, or are likely to become exposed [rule I].	
Req	Applicable to—	Persons restding in house or locality where smallpox cases exist.  Persons not residing within incorporated city or town, except persons obtaining reputable physician's certificate that vaccination would be dangerous to health [rule I].	
	ಬ್ಲಾ	<b>4 4</b>	
	State	Puerto Rico-Continued. South Carolina	

fied in case of sparsely settled communities so as only to apprehended danger of smallpox epidemics. It is duty of parent, guardian, or other person charged write care of any child to see that care of any child to see that child is a vacanade so often as directed by ordinance of incorporated city or town where child resides to by State board of health regulations if not resident of city or fown. It is duty of parent, guardian, or other person charged with ore other person charged with care of any child not a resident of an incorporated, city	or town to see that child is quired by rule I.s
hed in commun sppily a lt danges lt lt lt lt danges lt lt lt lt danges lt	
	May adopt such measures for general or local vaccination of inabilitants as they deem proper and necessary and, whenever necessary and, whenever necessary, vaccinate such includents to prevent introduction or arrest progress of small nor without being suthorized or ordered by State department of public health. Fine for retuing to be vaccinated or for preventing person under one's eare and control from being vaccinated, on application by health officer or board of health or by physician employed by health officer or board or board, unless, in another physician employed by health officer or board or board, unless, in another physician favorid not be prudent on account of sickness. Fine for physician fraudulently giving certificate of sickness or of vaccination to prevent
	Municipal and county health officers or boards or departments of health.
	s aguired."
	se this table under subhead "May be required."
	For sec. 5009 see this ta
	The state of the s
	Temessee

<sup>3</sup> South Carolina. For rule I see this table under subhead "Required."

Vaccination of general population or of particular groups thereof—Continued

	Miscellaneous provisions	Scope of provision	·
		80 ga	
	May be required	Scope of authority	May provide for computery vaccination, it be necessary to prevent epidemic.  May adopt such measures for general vaccination of inhabitation of any expensive statements of such a county as it deams proper and necessary to prevent introduction or arrest progress of smallpox. Unlawful to refuse to be vaccinated, or to prevent person under one's care and control from being vaccinated, or to fall to prevent person under one's sent oneself to county health officer or practicing physician, acting under board's or county health officer or practicing physician, acting under county accounty health officer or practicing physician, acting under county beath officer's direction, for walk waccination is physician believes vaccination in physician believes
	Мау b	Authority vested in—	Local boards of health State board of health
1		80 PH	α α
X	Required	Scope of requirement	
	Req	Applicable to—	
		20 ga	
		State	Virginia

		Rec	quired				May be required			Exemptio	n from vaccination		Other provisions
State	s	Transcridenced	Appli	cable to—	S	By whom	Of whom	In what schools	s or R 1	Ground for—	Showing required	s or R1	Scope of provision
	or R1	How evidenced	What persons	What schools	Ř				R 1			R1	
Alaska	s R	from physician of State, certificate	Pupils <sup>‡</sup>	In incorporated mu- nicipalities and school districts out- side incorporated cities. <sup>2</sup> Public and private					. R	Religious principles 3  Physical disability which may contraindicate vaccination in discretion of	Written objection by child's parents or guardians. <sup>3</sup> Certificate of health officer having jurisdiction stating contraindication.		-
Connecticut		of recent vaccination done in prop- er manner by competent physi- cian, or certificate showing im- munity from having had small- pox.			s	Local board of education.	Pupils	Public	. 8	health authority having jurisdiction.  Physical condition	Certificate from physician, approved by town, city, or borough health officer, practicing in or near town where child resides, that, in physi- cian's opinion, vaccination would		•
			Pupils 4	Public							not be prudent on account of child's physical condition.		-
District of Co lumbia. Georgia						Boards of education of each county and local						s	Boards of education of each county and local system may make such regulations as in their judgment seem requisite to insure vaccination of pupils in their
Kentucky	R	Reputable physician's certificate of	Pupils and teach-	Public and private— within State board		system.						R	respective schools.
Maine		successful vaccination within 7 years.	ers.	of health's jurisdic- tion.	s	Superintending school committees.	Unvaccinated persons.		s	Opposition to vaccination by parent or guardian.	Presentation by parent or guardian of signed statement that he is opposed to vaccination.	Ì	is opposed to vaccination, unvaccinated person may only be excluded in event of small pox epidemic.
Maryland	. s	Certificate of successful vaccination from regular practicing physician of county or city, as case may be.	Pupils	Public								S	It is duty of teachers in public schools within 10 days after beginning of fall term, and thereafter as new pupils enter, to ascertain and enroll on school's vaccine register names of pupils producing certificate of successful vaccination from regular practicing physician of county or city, and also names of pupils enrolled at any previous term in any school of county or Baltimore City as successfully vaccinated when pupil presents certificate of such enrollment.
	s	Certificate from regular physician that child has been properly vaccinated.	do	do					1		Contidents of posistened physician		
Massachusetts_	s	CAMPONI	do	do					s	Physical condition	Certificate of registered physician, designated by parent or guardian, that he has at time of giving certifi- cate personally examined child and is of opinion that child's physical condition is such that his health will		-
New Hampshire	s s		do.5	Public and private					s	Unfit subject for vaccination.	be endangered by vaccination.  Certificate of local board of health, issued on advice of registered physi-		
					s	A board of education	Pupils and teachers not		8	do	cian of State practicing in town where child resides, that child is unfit sub- ject for vaccination. Certificate of medical inspector ap-		
New Jersey							successfully vaccinated.				Certificate of medical inspector ap- pointed by board of education that pupil or teacher is unfit subject for vaccination.	, a	
New Mexico	. s	Successful vaccination where teacher has caused child to be vaccinated or proper certificate that child has been successfully vaccinated.	Pupils	Public					S	Physical condition	Certificate of duly licensed and practicing physician that minor child's physical condition is, at the time, such that vaccination would seriously endanger child's life or health.	8	It is duty of county school superintendent to see that all children of school age in his county are vaccinated, and to that end each teacher of a public school shall see that children under his control have been successfully vaccinated. Teacher shall report number of children whom he has caused to be vaccinated and those who have presented proper certificates that they have been vaccinated to county school superintendent at beginning of school year and as often thereafter as he may deem necessary, together with report of names of parents who refuse to allow their children to be vaccinated.
New York	. s			Schools in cities hav- ing 50,000 or more inhabitants.			413		1				-
Ohio							Unvaccinated pupils	Galanda and hoord's				S	Each district board of education may make and enforce such regulations to secure vaccination of, and to pre- vent spread of smallpox among, pupils attending or eligible to attend schools of district as, in its opinion, safety and interest of public require.
Oregon	1_	Physician's certificate that child has		Public, private, paro-	S	A board of school directors.	who have not had smallpox.	control.		Physical condition contra-			
·		been vaccinated and that subsequent examination reveals resulting cleatrix indicating successful vaccination, or that vaccination has been performed according to regulations promulgated by State secretary of health with sanction and advice of advisory board of State health department, or that child has previously had smallpox.	·	chial, and other.						indicating vaccination.	tricts—temporary certificate of dis- ability issued by official city bureau of health physician if upon examina- tion he finds a marked physical con- dition contraindicating vaccination; in third- and fourth-class school dis- tricts—temporary certificate of dis- ability issued by county medical di- rector of State health department if his examination or school medical inspector's report discloses a marked physical condition contraindicating vaccination.		All certificates of vaccination shall be issued in accordance with regulations promulgated by State secretary of health with sanction and advice of advisory board of State health department.
Puerto Rico	R	Vaccination or revaccination certifi- cate dated not previous to 5 years on taking charge of work. Vaccination or revaccination certifi-	takers.	do									-
Rhode Island	_ s	cate.			1		i .	1		Unfit subject for vaccination.	ed for cause stated therein, that pu-		keep on file all certificates of successful vaccination
South Carolina.	. S	Satisfactory evidence of having been vaccinated so often as directed by ordinance of city or town where school is located or by State board of health regulations if not located within city or town.		Any school in State	s	Any board of educa- tion, school trustees, or other body hav- ing control of any of schools in any city or town.	who have not had smallpox.	or town.			pil is not a fit subject for vaccination.		and of unfitness for vaccination.
	R	years. Satisfactory evidence of having been vaccinated as often as re-	i	Any school in State not located within an					-				-
Virginia	. s	quired in rule I.7  Reputable physician's certificate, furnished within 10 days after entering school, that person has been successfully vaccinated [code, sec. 690].	ers [code, sec.	incorporated city or town. Public [code, sec. 690]	1		-		s	Peculiar physical condition [code, sec. 690].	Reputable physician's certificate, furnished within 10 days after entering school, that person is entitled to exemption by reason of peculiar physical condition [code, sec. 690].	s	Nothing in sec. 690, code, precludes school board from requiring immediate vaccination in case of smallpox epidemic or annual revaccination of those who have not furnished certificates of proper vaccination. Where pupil has not been vaccinated, board shall, after notifying parent, guardian, or other person having custody or control of child to do so, proceed to have child vaccinated where parent, etc., fails to do
													so within time specified. Operation of so much of sec. 690 as concerns vaccination may be wholly or partly suspended by any county or city school board with approval of State superintendent of public in- struction and State health commissioner [code, sec. 690].
West Virginia	s	Within first month of attendance, giving satisfactory proof of previous successful vaccination or reputable physician's certificate showing successful vaccination has been done.	Pupils entering school for first time in State.	Public			-	-	. s	Impossibility or impro- priety of successful vac- cination or sufficient reason why vaccination should not be done.	ing that successful vaccination is im- possible or improper or sufficient rea-		
		¹ Statute ² Alaska.	or regulation. Vaccination requir	ed if, in Territorial healt	h off	icer's judgment, necessary	,		8 N	ew Hampshire. Attendance he smallpox: or has submitte	prohibited unless child "has been vacci d not less than 3 times to the process of	nate	d; or has

¹ Statute or regulation.
² Alaska. Vaccination required if, in Territorial health officer's judgment, necessary for community's welfare.
³ Alaska. No exemption "from physical examination and vaccination" when, in judgment of school authorities or physician, "child shows symptoms of physical defects or shows symptoms of or has been exposed to any contagious, infectious, obnoxious, or communicable disease."
⁴ District of Columbia. Child required to have been "duly vaccinated or otherwise protected" against smallpox.

New Hampshire. Attendance prohibited unless child "has been vaccinated; or has had the smallpox; or has submitted not less than 3 times to the process of vaccination; or holds a certificate" of unfitness.

Ohio. See this table under "Other provisions."
South Carolina. For rule I see table "Vaccination of general population or of particular groups thereof" under subhead "Required."
Virginia. For other provisions of sec. 690 see this table under subheads "Required" and "Exemption from vaccination."

Exclusion from school of unvaccinated persons during prevalence or threatened prevalence of smallpox

State	8 0f 18.1	Exclusion required	Exclusion suthorized	Persons excluded	Time of exclusion	Schools to which applicable	Other provisions
Arteona	82	×		Unvaccinated pupils	Period in which small- pox epidemic may be prevalent in school	Public schools in any school district.	
Iows.	202		By board of health in offy under special charter, with council s consent, by notice served upon teachers or persons in charge of schools.	Pupils	When smallpox is prevalent in city or its vicinity, until pupils have proved to satisfaction of hoard, or persons selected by it, that they have been vectinated within 5 years or within such time as board may des-	Public and private	
Kansas	<b>x</b>	Local board of health officer of any city or county where smallpox is present in any school district or part thereof, included in such city or county, shall, with advice hoard of health (or its executive officer), its executive officer),		Pupils and teachers who have not been successfully vaccinated.	Pignate. Smallpox appears. <sup>3</sup>	In any school district or part thereof previous- ly referred to.	
Louisiana	<b>#</b>	X		Pupils not presenting reputable physician's certificate of successful vaccination within 5 years or 2 unsuccessful attempts attempts vescinate within 1 year.	When smallpox prevalis in any parkin rumio- ipality and majority of parish or municipal board of health has recommended vacernation of pupils.	Public—in any parish or municipality previ- ously referred to.	
Ototinos es estado	1						

'Statute or regulation.

\*\*Kansas. Should new cases continue to develop in district or part thereof after expiration of 25 days, the local board of health officer shall, upon advice and consent of State board of health (or its executive officer) may deem necessary.

Exclusion from school of unvaccinated persons during prevalence or threatened prevalence of smallpox—Continued

Other provisions	·	Person thus required to be vacchinated may select any licensed physician and no rule shall require vaccination of child whose physician certifies that by reason of his physical condition vaccination would the vaccination would	ego por	
Schools to which applicable	Public—in any parish previously referred to.	Public	Any schoolhouse in infected or threstened district.	School in any school dis- trict or part thereof previoualy referred to.
Time of exclusion	When smallpox has been declared prevalent in any parish by State or parish board of or parish board of pupils recommended by majority of parish board of health and parish board of health.	During smallpox epidemics.	When smallpox exists or is threatened.	Period of 3 months after appearance of small- pox. <sup>3</sup>
Persons excluded	ng satt ng lice of 100 lice ss.	Puplis	All persons frequenting schoolnouse who do not comply with requirement of vaccination or evidence of successful or evidence of successful or evidence with cow-	Pupils and teachers who have not been successfully vaccinated.
Exclusion authorized		No rule of State board of health or of any public board or officer shall exclude, except during smallpox epi- proved by local board of education, a child hosansa mysochols hosansa mysochols	By State board of health.	When smallpox exists so or is threatened in any school district or part thereof, State board of meating, its excertive officery may direct local or county health officer to prohibit attendance.
Exclusion required	м			
Bor 1	<b>A</b>	<u> </u>	<b>20</b>	<b>#</b>
State	Louisians—Con.	Minnesota	Montana	

Anybody having control of public schools may, on account of prevalence of, or to prevent spread of, countiniesble dieses, prohibit attendance of any un va ceft na te defidition who has not had small bor required if a small pox case occurs in city or district.				
"School" only is spect- fied.	Shools in after or school		Public	
When smallpox epidemic exists in a community or one case or more exists among children who have attended school while suffering with disease in eruptive stage.	When small now exists to		On appearance of small- por case in any neigh- borhood, town, or city.	
Pupils and teachers	Punils and resease not	furnishing duty li- censed physician's cer- tificate that he has successfully vaccinat- son with vaccina tra- son with vaccina virus in usual manner or that such child or per- son shows evidence by sear of successful previ-	Pupils not presenting certificate of immunity from smallpox either through recent vaccination or previous attack of disease.	
			By any town, city, or county board of health.	
Duty of school board to make order.	Dute of sohool author.	ities in charge of school to exclude.		
Nebraska R		 	:	Oregon.

279334°—41—

\*Montana. Should new cases continue to develop in district or part thereof after expiration of 3 months, order may be renewed for another period of 3 months or so many days thereof as State board of health (or its executive officer) may deem necessary.

-Continued
rod;
f smal
6
prevalence
eg
reaten
rth
8
ten
prevo
ing
dur
ersons (
ă
nate
vacci
ž
9
schoo
from
ion )
ಶಿಗಿ
Exc

	Other provisions	Rohool wherein shild	ing from sm eeon present co of trustic on of all te upple, bere distribly after ion and el ion el ion and el ion and el ion and el i
or of suspension com	Schools to which applicable	Any school in city or town.	"School" only is speci- fied.
i mireuceneu prevuene	Time of exclusion	When smallpox case has occurred in city or town.	Period of 14 days upon appearance of small- pox.
TARTHUM CO INTERCOLUTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE CHARLES OF THE PROPERTY OF	Persons excluded	Pupils, teachers, and attendants.	Inhabitants of municipality and nonresidents not successfully vaccinated or showing doctor's certificate of recent vaccination.
anagentaged belong	Exclusion authorized		
	Exclusion required		Local board of health shall prohibit at- tendance.
	8 or R1	σ <u>α</u> σ	200
	State	South Carolina	Wisconsin

Wisconsin. Should new cases continue to develop in municipality, local board of health shall renew order for so many days as State board of health may deem necessary.

# Vaccination of employees

# Vaccination of employees—Continued

	Other provisions			Regulations of health departments of	first-class ettics shall cover and include the compulsory reachastion and revreactination of persons employed as physicians, teachers, nurses, or in any other capacity in public or private schools, hospitals, and asylums, or any other public or private educational or charitable institutions.			
	Persons to whom applicable—	Officers and employees in State institutions.	Employees on dairies producing certified milk.		Employee of relineds tramways	and vehicles at public's service; stevedores of steam and saling vessels; proprietors and clerks of hotels, restaurants, and other commercial establishments; managers and operstives of workshops and secories;	members of police force; inspectors, internal revenue appraisers, and other employees whose occupation brings them in contact with public. Laborase construiding works of mublic.	improvement.3 Personnel at all U. S. Public Health Service quarantine stations.
8 1	May be required						In avamining officer's discretion le-	borers shall be vaccinated.
	Required	Successful vaccination required when brought into contact in any way with wards of institu-	Employment prohibited when not recognized within a years, as confirmed by filing of proper certificate of vaccination, unless revaccinated at time of employment.		Snadflad amnivass ramitrad to	have vaccination or revaccina- tion cartificate.:		Quarantine station personnel shall be vaccinsted.
	ಹಕ್ಷ	r#	<b>4</b>	80			σ	
	State	Minnesota	New Jersey	Pennsylvania	Puerto Rico		Virginia	United States

<sup>2</sup> P uerto Rico. See table "Veccination of general population or of particular groups thereof" for provisions concerning vaccination and revaccination by age groups.

<sup>3</sup> Virginia. The statutory section involved commences by requiring any person, firm, or corporation employing large bodies of laborers constructing works of public improvement, unless having own physician for purpose, to have a regular inspection by board of health of the counties where said laborers are employed at such times as board may determine,

# Vaccination of inmates of institutions

			•	
State 8	S or R 1	Institutions specified	Requirement as to vaccination	Exemption from vaccination
Kentucky	<b>20</b> 20	Charitable institutions of State	Superintendents shall have all inmates vaccinated. Keeper shall have all convicts in same vaccinated.	
Massachusetts	<b>0</b> 0	Any infirmary, training or industrial school, hospital or other establishment where poor or side are received, prison, jall or house of correction, or any institution supported or aided by State.	Board of health of town where situated may, if it decides it is necessary for health of immates or for public safety, require authorities of said establishment or institution, at expense thereof, to cause all said inmates to be vaccinated.	Child presenting certificate of registered physician, designated by parent or guardian, that he has at time of giving certificate personally examined child and is of opinion that child's physical condition is such that his health will be endangered dition is such that his health will be endangered
Mississippi	<b>0</b> 0	Sunflower Farm	Prison physician at said farm shall cause all con-	oy vaccination—white such continue continues.
Montana	<b>#</b>	State Vocational School at Helens, State Industrial School at Miles City, State School for Feeble-minded and School for Deaf and Blind at Boulder, and State Orphans' Home at Twin	vices to be vaccinated. On admission to said institutions all children must show evidence of successful vaccination or be immediately vaccinated.	
New York	p#	State Reconstruction Home at West Haverstraw  A patient shall not be considered eligible for admission unless successfully vacchated within	A patient shall not be considered eligible for admission unless successfully vaccinated within	Superintendent authorized to waive requirement when in his judgment emergency exists requiring
North Carolina	202	A public institution, jail, or county home	on appearance of smallpox case in any neighborhood the county physician or health officer shall vaccinate every person admitted as soon as practicable, unless astisfied upon examination that person is already successfully vacci-	illinedisto sumission of pariette.
Pennsylvania	<b>σ</b> 2	Public or private schools, hospitals and asylums, or any other public or private educational or	nate 1. Regulations of health departments of first-class cities shall cover and include the compulsory	
South Carolina	et .		vaccination and revaccination of immates. Prisoners not successfully vaccinated within 10 years shall be vaccinated upon admission.	

1 Statute or regulation.

#### Statutory prohibitions relative to vaccination

State	Action prohibited or made unlawful
Arizona	Subjecting minor child to compulsory vaccination without parent's or guardian's consent.
California	Adoption by school or local health authorities of any rule or regulation on the subject of vaccination.
Minnesota	Rule of State board of health or of any public board or officer compelling vaccination of child or excluding, except during smallpox epidemics and when approved by local
North Dakota	board of education, child from public schools because unvaccinated.  Making any form of vaccination or inoculation a condition precedent for admission to any public or private school or college of any person, or for exercise of any right, performance of any duty, or enjoyment of any privilege by any person.
South Dakota	For any board, physician, or person to compel another, by use of physical force, to submit to operation of vaccination with smallpox or other virus.
Utah	For any board of health, board of education, or any other public board to compel by resolution, order, or proceedings of any kind the vaccination of any person of any age; or to make vaccination a condition precedent to attendance at any public or private school, either as pupil or teacher.
Washington	Requiring children to submit to vaccination against parents' or guardian's will.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Washington. This provision is contained in a proviso in par. No. 13 of sec. 4805, Remington's Revised Statutes Annotated, which section enumerates various powers of the board of directors of a first-class school district.

#### Miscellaneous provisions regarding vaccination

State	8 or R1	Scope of provision
California Delaware	8	Control of smallpox shall be under direction of State board of health.  State board of health authorized to make such regulations and adopt such measures, including vaccination, as it deems best efficient to eradicate all infectious diseases.
Georgia	s	Boards of health of counties having 200,000 or more inhabitants authorized to make rules relative to vaccination.
Iowa	ន	When smallpox is prevalent in city under special charter or its vicinity the city board of health, with council's consent, may prevent admission of persons not furnishing satisfactory proof of vaccination into churches, theaters, or other buildings by notifying persons in charge thereof not to admit such persons.
Minnesota	8	By regulation State board of health may control assembling, during smallpox epi- demics, with other persons not vaccinated.
New York	8	No person shall perform vaccination who is not a regularly licensed physician under laws of State.
Pennsylvania	8	Regulations of health departments of first-class cities shall cover and include the compulsory vaccination and revaccination of persons attending public or private schools, hospitals and asylums, or any other public or private educational or charitable institutions.
Puerto Rico	R	Vaccination shall be practiced only by physicians, "practicantes" and nurses, or by persons outside medical profession obtaining vaccinator's license from director of sanitation.
South Carolina	s	Board of health of incorporated city, town, or village has power and it is its duty to of enforce vaccination.
	s	Boards of health of unincorporated towns and villages of not less than 100 population have power and it is their duty to enforce vaccination.
	R	Every midwife shall be successfully vaccinated.
South Dakota	S	No person shall prevent child of school age who furnishes physician's certificate of successful vaccination with smallpox virus, within 5 years, from attending public school.
United States	R	Persons from localities in Canada and Mexico where smallpox is prevailing shall not be allowed entry into United States without vaccination, unless protected by previous attack of disease or recent successful vaccination.

<sup>1</sup> Statute or regulation.

#### Definitions of vaccination 1

State	Definition
Arkansas Nebraska Nevada Ohio	Introduction of vaccine virus into the skin.  Scarification or puncture of the skin and introduction therein of active principles of compox followed by characteristic lesion or scar.  Introduction, through an abrasion of the skin, of bovine vaccine virus.  Inoculation by incision, puncture, scarification, or injection beneath epidermis of a vaccine which produces, with some constitutional disturbance, the typical vaccine vesicle and which leaves, after pock has healed, a characteristic scar.
Puerto Rico	Transference to human being of virus from irruption on skin of susceptible animal suffering from vacuna or cowpox.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> These definitions are all contained in regulations.

#### Methods of vaccination

State	s or R1	Scope of provision
Georgia	8	Misdemeanor to use any inoculation other than that called vaccination, unless by special commission or authority from court of ordinary of county where smallpox appears.
Hawaii	8	In vaccination of children, only bovine virus obtained from standard manufacturers shall be used, vaccination shall be only by scarifier and points put up in hermetically sealed tubes or other antiseptic receptacles, each receptacle shall be opened immediately before a scarifier or point is to be used in presence of person to be vaccinated, and no scarifier or point shall be used for vaccination of more than 1 person.
New York	8	Vaccination shall be performed in such manner only as prescribed by State health commissioner.
Puerto Rico	R	One of following methods shall be used, unless special permission for use of another method is obtained from State health commissioner: (a) Single scratch method; (b) multiple pressure method. Following methods are specifically disapproved: (a) Cross hatching, (b) multiple scratches, or scarifications, less than 1 inch apart; (c) scratches more than 14 inch in length.  Only mode amployed shall be by nuncture incision or scraping by means of
		sterilized needles, glass, or ivory points or scalpel, taking care not to cause flow of blood or unnecessary skin irritation; scarification should not be crossed nor should dry points be used.

<sup>1</sup> Statute or regulation.

## PROCEDURE FOR THE MAINTENANCE OF HOUSING STANDARDS IN MILWAUKEE <sup>1</sup>

By Charles L. Senn, Assistant Chief in Charge of Sanitary Inspection, Milwaukee Health Department

How far should housing concern the health department? This question has been posed many times, most recently at the American Public Health Association Convention in Detroit in October 1940. For the purpose of this article, the question may be rephrased: How far does housing concern the health department in Milwaukee?

Sufficient evidence has been accumulated to show that there is a definite relationship between housing and health. It appears to be agreed, generally, that housing is a concern of all health departments, and that health departments in the routine conduct of their duties do many things of immediate, practical value in maintaining reasonable dwelling standards in the communities which they serve.

But to what extent are defects in housing the direct responsibility of the health department? Who is to enforce existing codes? What about housing for families on relief? Should a housing authority or commission be created within the municipal administration? Should the building inspection department be made responsible? Will the Federal housing programs solve the problem? Should new codes be adopted?

It is clear that no two cities are going to solve their housing problems in exactly the same way; nor will they draw upon the same agencies for cooperation. Enforcement of laws relating to healthful

<sup>1</sup> Acknowledgment is made of the many helpful suggestions and assistance given by Mr. J. C. Leukhardt' of the United States Public Health Service, in the preparation of this article.

housing will not be accomplished in the same ways by various municipal agencies.

The solution of problems encountered in the broad field of urban housing involves the combined work of several departments and agencies—zoning commissions, park and playground departments, building departments, relief and social agencies, fire prevention bureaus, plumbing departments, and health departments.

In Milwaukee, the plan now in effect joins together the city's health and housing functions. This coordination is attempted through the defining and sharing of responsibility on the part of several agencies.

It is true that the codes and rules applicable to the situation are still inadequate. Nevertheless, through fair and impartial enforcement and through mutual action by the building inspection department and the health department, progress is being made.

Discussion of some of the housing problems arising in Milwaukee and of the steps taken toward their solution will serve to show what one city is doing to raise the level of housing standards.

#### ROOMING HOUSES AND "LIGHT HOUSEKEEPING" ROOMS

The shift, a few years ago, of the "best residential" section from large homes in the central city to the newer suburban areas, left many large residences unoccupied. These have been taken over by tenants who desired to use them for the establishment of rooming houses and for light housekeeping rooms.

Thus, a number of families have come to live under one roof, sharing bathrooms and laundries, and cooking their meals in rooms originally intended only for sleeping purposes. These light housekeeping rooms are used largely by persons financially unable to establish homes in apartments, houses, or flats. To rent the usual dwelling unit would require a considerable outlay of money on their part. They would have to pay 1 month's rent in advance, to pay deposits to gas and electric companies, and to provide furniture. In light housekeeping rooms, by paying 1 week's rent in advance, they can obtain completely furnished living quarters within their means, including cooking facilities, heat, light, and gas.

These light housekeeping places present a housing problem in terms of crowding, safety, and sanitation. In 1917 an ordinance was adopted requiring that whenever four or more persons, in addition to the operator's family, live in a building not actually divided into complete, individual apartments, a rooming house license must be obtained from the health department. Licensing under this code requires at least 400 cubic feet of air space per adult occupant, window

191 January 31, 1941

areas of at least one-tenth the floor areas, and one toilet for each eight occupants.

Reasonable rules and regulations were decided upon, giving the health department power to require installation of a certain number of baths or showers and to exact on the part of the landlord a certain amount of cleanliness, the extermination of vermin, and the provision of clean, sanitary bedding.

A license to operate a rooming house is not issued until the occupancy permit is granted by the building inspection department and until all the rules of the health department have been complied with. Application for a license makes it possible to locate the houses and to keep records of the manner in which they are operated. Licensing also assists in enforcement since, each year before the license is renewed, compliance with all codes under the jurisdiction of the health department can be insisted upon. Following the routine health department check-up, lack of adequate fire escapes, installation of improper or illegal plumbing or wiring, and accumulation of material which might create a fire hazard, are called to the attention of proper departments. The health department withholds licenses when rooms on third floors do not have proper exits and fire escapes, although enforcement of this regulation is actually a building inspection department function.

It was soon realized that, even with frequent inspection and rigid enforcement, it would be difficult to maintain satisfactory housing in places having several light housekeeping units.

In May 1939, at the suggestion of the building inspector, Milwaukee's building code was changed to prohibit the installation or creation of new "nonregulation" dwelling units. That is to say, all new dwelling units to be used for sleeping and cooking purposes are required to have a minimum of 280 square feet of floor area, an individual sink, and at least one complete bathroom for each two units. This law is not retroactive.

Following passage of this code, the sanitary inspectors of the health department made a rooming house survey. All nonregulation dwelling units in existence at the time of passage of the new ordinance (i.e., units which met requirements of the rooming house law but not those of the new building code) were investigated. Sanitary facilities available in each place, the total number of nonregulation dwelling units, the number of persons living in such units, and the amount of rent paid were noted. At the time of the survey there were in Milwaukee 11,774 nonregulation dwelling units occupied by 19,462 persons. The total rooming house population was about 30,000.

These data were recorded on a card for each rooming house. Thus, at the annual inspection, the number of units recorded for each building may be checked against the number allowable. If units have

been added during the year, the owner is ordered to remove the stove and cooking facilities and to reserve the rooms for sleeping purposes only.

One of the most objectionable features of light housekeeping has been the lack of adequate means for procuring and disposing of water. One clause in the new ordinance has made possible the alleviation of such conditions to a marked degree. Under this clause, a sink must be installed in each dwelling unit. Occupants need not obtain all water from the bathroom if there is running water available to them in their own living quarters, nor do they have to dispose of all waste water by returning it to the bathroom. It is possible to wash and shave within their own rooms, and the inevitable long waits where a great many people are using the same bathroom are avoided. Unfortunately, this clause is not retroactive.

Upon adoption of the new ordinance, enforcement of all existing provisions was pressed. Places having more than the allowable number of persons for each toilet were ordered to install additional toilets or to reduce the number of roomers. Many rooms, which had been rented and licensed for a period of years, had insufficient window area. These were ordered vacated until the window area could be increased to comply with the ordinance. Units on third floors having but one stairway were no longer licensed. Inside rooms having no windows and rooms that were too small for healthful use were ordered vacated. Operators were required to install at least one bath or shower for every 15 persons. Violations of fire and safety regulations were promptly referred to the building inspection department.

Places regularly found to be in good condition are now inspected but once a year. Special records are kept of those houses requiring frequent inspection. Since State regulations require a special electric rate for rooming houses, an interchange of rooming house lists with the local electric company assists in keeping track of new places and of changes in ownership.

In order to secure uniform interpretation and enforcement of the rules and ordinances, all rules and policies of the health department are given to inspectors in written form. The city is divided into 16 inspection districts. All inspectors are required to investigate the rooming houses in their districts. Inspectors best qualified for housing work are assigned to the districts in which most of the rooming houses are located. One additional inspector is assigned exclusively to rooming house inspection.

In 1937 a complete check of all the buildings in the city was made by the police department. This check revealed only 25 unlicensed rooming houses at a time when there were 2,500 licensed rooming houses in the city. 193 January 31, 1941

Changes and improvements are accomplished with very little opposition; it is rarely necessary to bring an offender into court.

#### HOUSING STANDARDS FOR PUBLIC ASSISTANCE FAMILIES

An important factor in the Milwaukee procedure for improving housing standards has been the close cooperation of the department of public assistance with local enforcement agencies.

The department of public assistance of Milwaukee County<sup>2</sup> has shown a keen interest in the housing problem of families receiving assistance. It has aided materially in bringing about housing improvements by insisting that rooms which are too small, which have inadequate window areas, or which lack fire exits are not to be occupied by relief clients. This department routinely has refused to rent units in rooming houses which are not licensed by the health department.

The housing division of the department of public assistance permits clients to find their own quarters within the limits of their budget. The rent is paid directly to the landlord by the county. Rents are paid according to a definite evaluation schedule, and no place is rented until complete investigation has been made by the housing division.

Dwelling units are classified, in order that the maximum amount of rent allowable may be determined. The rates are established according to the availability of water, gas, electricity, inside toilets, private toilets, and like facilities. Quarters are further considered with reference to the number of rooms and the number of persons in the family to occupy them.

Based on these considerations, a maximum rent of \$18 per month, for example, would be paid for three rooms to be occupied by three people, if the rooms were equipped with water, gas, electricity, and inside toilet.

Housing investigators of the public assistance department check the buildings for general upkeep, cleanliness, screens, type and quantity of furniture, sanitary facilities, window areas, tightness of windows, type of heating, dampness, condition of basements, attics, stairs, hallways, and other pertinent factors. Deductions are made for each of the points in which the dwelling unit is deficient. A maximum rent allowance can be paid for any place rating between 85 and 100 percent. Rent allowance is proportionately decreased until a rate of 65 percent is reached. No quarters rating at or under 65 percent are rented without referring the premises to the attention of the building inspection department or to the health department for investigation. Once declared unfit by the building inspection department, a place will not be rented by the department of public

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See "How can a department of public assistance secure improved housing for client families?" Publication No. N-126, July 1940. National Association of Housing Officials, 1313 East Sixtieth Street, Chicago, Ill.

assistance. If the building is structurally unsafe, it is, of course, condemned by the building inspection department.

Minor defects in one- and two-family places—such as faulty plumbing, leaky roofs, broken windows, and the like—are referred directly to the owner by the housing division of the department of public assistance. When the owner refuses to correct insanitary conditions, the health department investigates. Upon decision that the quarters are unfit for human habitation, the relief department refuses to pay further rent until the conditions are corrected.

Violations of the rooming house law are referred to the health department for enforcement.

Insanitary conditions are not always attributable to the dwellings. Quite frequently conditions detrimental to health are caused by poor housekeeping on the part of the occupants. Heavy vermin infestations in quarters occupied by careless or ignorant housekeepers may result in the health department serving frequent notices to rooming house keepers to clean up and to exterminate the pests. The tenants responsible are usually ordered to move after repeated notices. They may move several times in a single year, and each time they bring vermin to their new rooms.

Many of these families are relief clients and the department of public assistance is now attempting to encourage them to maintain their premises in a reasonably clean and vermin-free condition. A housekeeping instructor visits the offending families and assists them to adopt better methods of housekeeping. In one- and two-family houses where the landlord cannot officially be made responsible for pest control, the visiting housekeeper furnishes clients with exterminating materials and instructions on ridding the rooms of household pests.

#### HEATING

For healthful occupancy there must be maintained in living rooms a temperature which will avoid "undue heat loss from the human body." An ordinance requiring maintenance of at least 70 degrees of heat, whenever the heat is furnished by the landlord, is enforced by the health department. The majority of houses occupied by multiple family units come under the provisions of this ordinance. Enforcement is by the usual method of education and cooperation, with court cases being the exception rather than the rule.

When complaints are made, inspectors are instructed to find the cause of the lack of heat. Frequently only one or two of the tenants in a building complain. Investigation commonly reveals faulty valves, improper circulating systems within the heating plant, or loss of heat due to loose or broken windows. All such conditions may

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Quotation from "Basic principles of healthful housing." Report of Committee on Hygiene of Housing, American Public Health Association.

195 January 31, 1941

be corrected readily by the landlord, and usually he is willing to cooperate if the defect is brought to his attention. If the lack of heat seems due to intentional economy or to neglect in caring for the heating apparatus, the landlord is instructed to comply with the provisions of the ordinance. If the orders are not obeyed, recording thermometers are installed in the complainant's quarters. When substandard temperature charts are presented in court, conviction usually follows.

#### GENERAL SANITATION

Efforts were made to improve sanitary conditions in areas where yards and alley passages were objectionable because of open piles of garbage and refuse. Rats and flies were attracted by the nuisance, and disagreeable odors resulted. Five health department inspectors were assigned to a single area during the summer of 1939 and as many as 100 written orders to clean up were issued in such districts in a single day.

Rat surveys carried out by district sanitary inspectors revealed the areas and buildings which were badly infested. The inspectors encouraged whole neighborhoods to work together for rat control. Thousands of pamphlets were distributed and improvement was brought about by ratproofing and by the elimination of feeding places and rat harborages.

There is still need of further control of dust and odor nuisances and of more strict regulation of city noise. Existing ordinances give the health department ample authority to respond to complaints in connection with these matters. Except in the heavy industrial areas, loud and sharp night noises from industrial plants and construction projects are prohibited. Noises caused by the hum of industrial ventilator fans, unmuffled motors, and compressors can be controlled by the health department. The enforcement of building and zoning laws will do much to prevent the establishment of new residential areas too near the noisy, malodorous, and dust-producing industries. The unnecessary blowing of auto horns is covered by an ordinance enforced by the police department. Certain other unnecessary noises, such as the loud playing of radios and other musical instruments, and noises made by heavy street cars, are still imperfectly restrained.

#### HOUSES IN OUTLYING SECTIONS

Before certain outlying districts were incorporated in the city limit, numerous individual bungalow-garages were built in these districts by persons who hoped soon to have funds with which to construct permanent homes. During the depression, building and loan companies and finance corporations took over many of these structures

January 31, 1941 196

planned by their owners as temporary and makeshift dwellings, and rented them as low-cost housing units.

Health problems soon developed, for with careless tenants occupying a building which had no sink, no inside toilet, and no running water, the improperly placed wells were soon contaminated. There was also the practice on the part of housewives of throwing waste water into the yards, further to complicate sanitary enforcement.

In 1937 a survey was made to determine how many privies, chemical toilets, and private wells were in use by the impoverished families in outlying sections. Orders were issued to install city water and sewer connections on all premises abutting on streets having public sewers and water. Owners who lived on the premises generally complied when orders were issued, even at considerable financial sacrifice. Many of the landlords and corporations, however, failed to comply. A decision by the city attorney held that existing ordinances did not give the health department authority to enforce these orders and more than 100 contemplated orders were dismissed before action was brought.

When the passage of a new ordinance was being considered, companies holding the properties for rental purposes argued that if the building foundations were improved, plumbing installed, and proper connections for running water made, large increases in rent would necessarily follow. This would, in turn, oblige the low rent tenants to move to rooming houses or to more dilapidated buildings in the congested part of the city. Pending adoption of a covering ordinance, the health department is attempting to require flyproof privy vaults, safe water supplies, and reasonable adherence to sanitary conditions. If it is found that such standards cannot be sustained, it is required that water and sewer connections be made or the property be vacated as a health hazard and a nuisance.

#### TENEMENTS

Places housing three or more families, not classed as rooming houses, are regulated by the tenement house sanitary code. The tenement code authorizes the health department to correct conditions detrimental to health, to order extermination of vermin, to insist upon provisions of sufficient window area in the sleeping rooms, and to prevent overcrowding.

Apartments and tenements are inspected annually by the health department. Additional inspections are made of places usually found in poor condition. While it is doubtful whether inspectors legally can insist on inspection of individual apartments, they do ask the privilege of going through dwelling units in buildings which are obviously in poor condition.

197

#### TRAILERS

Although the use of trailers for housing is not usual in Milwaukee, there are several trailer camps and a number of individual trailers in use in the city. An ordinance has recently been adopted requiring use of approved sites and provision of sanitary facilities. Use of trailers for living purposes is forbidden, except on sites accommodating at least 30 trailers. Persons may not occupy a trailer for more than 6 months in a year, within the city.

Occupancy permits for trailer camps must be obtained from the building inspector and annual licenses must be procured from the health department.

#### CONCLUSION

The new Federal housing policy of making available suitable dwelling places to lower income groups will help only a limited number of persons in a city the size of Milwaukee. For years to come there will exist the need of controlling the housing situation in the congested areas of the city, so that safe places to live, measuring up to known and legally enforceable standards, may be provided for people with low incomes and for families on relief.

Through close cooperation of the health department and the building inspection department, supported by the insistence of the tenants themselves that they be afforded better living accommodations, it is hoped that higher standards will be established, applicable to all existing units.

Improved new units, built under newly established standards, are the result of an extensive educational and enforcement program now in effect in Milwaukee. By clearly defining the duties of the several enforcement agencies, Milwaukee has strengthened the ordinances, and made the housing codes more comprehensive. Through close cooperation between local departments and agencies, improved housing for low income families has been advanced and opportunity for healthful living extended.

#### COURT DECISION ON PUBLIC HEALTH

Tuberculosis held not to be compensable under workmen's compensation act.—(Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court; Smith's Case, 30 N.E.2d 536; decided December 10, 1940.) The widow of a deceased employee sought compensation under the Massachusetts Workmen's Compensation Act as a dependent. It appeared that the employee was in the service of a municipal hospital for contagious diseases from March 1, 1932, until his death from tuberculosis on January 19, 1938. At times he worked in the tuberculosis ward, taking meals to the patients, removing their dishes, and burning their

sputum boxes. It could be found, according to the supreme court's statement, that in 1934 he contracted tuberculosis. No means of infection other than inhalation of germs was shown. Compensation was awarded in the lower court and the city appealed.

The supreme court stated that the compensation act required only a personal injury, not personal injury by accident, and that the question for decision was whether germs of disease, present because of the employment, result in personal injury when they are inhaled by an employee and perform their function of producing disease. It was declared that the distinction between personal injury and germ disease had to be drawn, for the purposes of the compensation act, in accordance with common understanding as revealed in common speech and that the two concepts at times might overlap. "But," said the court, "when the disability has no cause connected with the employment except the presence, due to the employment, of germs of disease, and those germs find lodgment in the system only through the normal and natural process of respiration or inhalation, we think that there is disease, and not personal injury within the meaning of the act." In the instant case there was no evidence that the channel of infection was other than the nose and mouth into which the germs were carried by the natural act of respiration and the court's view was that the employee suffered from and died of disease, not personal injury.

#### DEATHS DURING WEEK ENDED JANUARY 18, 1941

[From the Weekly Health Index, issued by the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce]

	Week ended Jan. 18, 1941	Corresponding week, 1940
Data from 88 large cities of the United States:  Total deaths	9, 724 9, 111 28, 777 550 533 1, 704 64, 741, 274 13, 858 11. 2 9. 8	9, 368 28, 334 565 1, 693 66, 384, 377 15, 167 11. 9 10. 0

#### PREVALENCE OF DISEASE

No health department, State or local, can effectively prevent or control disease without knowledge of when, where, and under what conditions cases are occurring

#### **UNITED STATES**

# REPORTS FROM STATES FOR WEEK ENDED JANUARY 25, 1941 Summary

The incidence of influenza, which has been increasing in the country as a whole since last November, registered a decline for the current week, with 96,652 cases reported as compared with 120,006 <sup>1</sup> for the preceding week. These figures include only New York City for New York State; and while the State health officer of Pennsylvania reports further increase of upper respiratory infection, with scattered cases of influenza, he states that the disease is not epidemic there.

Increases for the current week were shown for only 3 geographic areas—the Middle Atlantic, East North Central, and South Atlantic—with the latter area reporting the largest number of cases and the largest numerical increase, from 46,255 to 50,310, or 52 percent of the current total. West Virginia, with 14,003 cases, as compared with 8,867 last week, reported the highest incidence and the largest increase for any State during the current week. The disease declined sharply in the two South Central and the Mountain and Pacific areas, where the incidence has previously been high.

For the current week the Bureau of the Census reports 10,472 deaths in 88 major cities of the United States, as compared with 9,724 last week and with a 3-year (1938-40) average of 9,321. From November through the week of January 4, mortality in these cities remained below or close to the 3-year average, but for the weeks ended January 11, 18, and 25, the numbers of deaths were 484, 613, and 1,151 above the respective weekly averages.

Of the other 8 communicable diseases included in the weekly table, only measles and poliomyelitis were above the 5-year (1936-40) medians, while the incidence of diphtheria, smallpox, and typhoid fever was below that for each of the preceding 5 years.

Two cases of tularemia were reported in North Carolina and 1 case in Utah. Of 29 cases of endemic typhus fever, 15 cases were reported in North Carolina and 5 cases in Georgia.

279384\*—41——8 (199)

<sup>1</sup> The total of 119,006 cases previously recorded for last week was increased by a delayed report of 1,000 cases in New Hampshire.

Telegraphic morbidity reports from State health officers for the week ended January 25, 1941, and comparison with corresponding week of 1940 and 5-year median

In these tables a zero indicates a definite report, while leaders imply that, although none were reported, cases may have occurred.

cases may have occ	urred.											
	D	iphthe	ria	1	Influenz	<b>.</b>		Meask	<b>36</b>	Men	ingitis ngococo	, men- zus
Division and State	Week	ended	Me-	Week	ended	Me-	Weel	k ended	Ме-	Week	ended	Me-
	Jan. 25, 1941	Jan. 27, 1940	dian 1936– 40	Jan. 25, 1941	Jan. 27, 1940	dian 1936– 40	Jan. 25, 1941	Jan. 27, 1940	dian 1936- 40	Jan. 25, 1941	Jan. 27, 1940	dian 1936– 40
NEW ENG.		i		l								
Maine	0 3 0	1 1 4 0 2	3 0 0 4 0 2	1, 138 44 23 29 1, 869	34	34 1	34 15 38 341 2 21	22 210 98	143 12 22 344 98 164	0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 2 1	0 0 0 2 0 1
MID. ATL.  New York  New Jersey  Pennsylvania	18 22 6	28 1 26	33 12 41	1 522 377	116 32	1 21 19	2, 125 688 2, 485	1 28	564 33 140	2 1 4	3 0 15	7 1 9
E. NO. CEN.		1			1	İ			ł	ĺ		
OhioIndianaIllinoisMichiganWisconsin	8 11 14 4 1	23 20 33 9 3	27 21 41 11 3	3, 245 432 171 412 230	21 25 79 12 64	7 25 35 4 53	770 126 1, 210 1, 199 286	38 16 32 354 214	60 16 32 354 214	2 2 0 0 3	2 1 0 1 1	3 1 5 2 0
W. NO. CEN.	_						_					
Minnesota	3 5 3 9 4 1	1 3 2 0 5 4	4 6 20 3 3 0 7	954 671 147 141 5 34 750	8 22 26 42 4 	4 7 145 16 2 1 25	7 109 26 13 39 2 223	235 78 4 6 5 28 213	104 78 8 6 5 28 41	0 1 2 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 1	1 0 2 0 0 1 1
SO. ATL.	1	2	1	,			20	١.				
Delaware Maryland Delaware Maryland Dist. of Col	5 1 6 2 24 8 2	2 4 17 17 10 10 4 5	7 7 23 17 30 9 13	392 624 168 12, 868 14, 003 1, 277 11, 731 9, 031 216	132 19 2, 107 53 122 2, 169 1, 249 62	53 34 711 193 13	20 25 5 304 58 87 25 63 6	0 7 1 41 4 42 11 24 33	11 137 12 135 11 54 11 24 33	1 0 2 11 2 1 0	0 0 1 1 0 0	0 3 1 4 2 3 1 0
E. 90. CEN.				0.450						_		
Kentucky Tennessee Alabama 3 Mississippi 23	8 5 5 2	13 3 12 2	11 10 23 8	2, 450 3, 528 7, 043	59 325 900	46 185 <b>362</b>	164 42 81	23 47 40	48 47 40	2 2 2 1	1 2 3 1	8 3 2 1
W. SO. CEN.	12	10	10	2, 633	1, 859	190	63	19	19			
Louisiana Oklahoma Texas <sup>3</sup>	4 9 28	6 8 35	10 10 58	660 1, 521 12, 841	42 373 2, 158	22 217 719	1 5 84	2 2 196	13 13 75	2 0 1 7	0 0 1 0	1 0 2 3
Mountain Montana	8	0	1	721	9	50	3	32	32	-0	2	
W yoming Colorado	0 2 1	3 1 8	2 0 9	79 616 603	1 2 27	2	0 2 57	148 10 27	73 9 27	0	0 0	0 0 0 I
New Mexico	0 4 8 0	0 3 0	8 8 0	159 528 155	19 271 45	10 130 7	84 106 16 0	9 10 149	26 2 54	0 1 0	0 0 1	0
PACIFIC	. [									- 1		
Washington Oregon California	2 0 17	3 9 24	3 2 30	110 125 1, 376	13 221 474	53 144	82 223 83	801 147 389	113 22 380	· 1	0 1 0	0 1 2
Total	271	383	601	96, 652	13, 242	3, 395	11, 448	4, 383	5, 506	60	42	104
4 weeks	1, 220	1, 829	2, 507	<b>2</b> 83, 630	47, 956	12, 765	40, 419	15, 633	18, 901	106	129	377
											-	

See footnotes at end of table.

Telegraphic morbidity reports from State health officers for the week ended January 25, 1941, and comparison with corresponding week of 1940 and 5-year median—Con.

	Po	liomye	litis	s	carlet fev	er .	8	mallpe	O <b>T</b>		noid an phoid f	d para- ever
Division and State	Week	ended	Me-	Week	ended		Week	ended	Me-	Week	ended	Me-
	Jan. 25, 1941	Jan. 27, 1940	dian 1936- 40	Jan. 25, 1941	Jan. 27, 1940	Median 1936–40	Jan. 25, 1941	Jan. 27, 1940	dian 1936- 40	Jan. 25, 1941	Jan. 27, 1940	dian 1936- 40
NEW ENG.												
Maine	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	5 4 11 149 4 39	17 8 11 139 8 82	21 8 11 249 20 82	0 0 0 0	0000	0 0 0	1 0 0 1 0	1 0 0 1 0 10	1 0 0 1 0 0
MID. ATL.  New York  New Jersey  Pennsylvania	2 0 2	0 0 0	0 1 1	440 269 283	597 256 388	677 177 569	0 0 0	0	0	2 0 2	6 0 10	6 0 7
E. NO. CEN. Ohio	1	1	0	247	376	438	0	1	8	1	o	o
IndianaIllinois Michigan Wisconsin	0 2 4 2	1 1 0 0	0 1 0 0	157 410 187 147	189 489 317 167	195 551 560 289	2 0 4 2	7 1 0 2	7 17 0 13	3 8 2 0	1 1 2 1	0 3 2 1
W. NO. CEN.		2	١,					١.,	15			
Minnesota	0 5 0 0 0	6 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	56 56 91 3 29 20 64	125 71 86 23 16 36 114	147 191 210 28 21 47 213	3 7 6 0 0 2	13 11 2 0 0 0	10 10 10 4 2 11	1 4 1 0 0 0	0 2 2 0 0 0	0 1 2 0 0 0
SO. ATL.	_											
Delaware. Maryland <sup>3</sup> Dist. of Col	0 0 0 0 1 1 3 2	0 0 0 0 2 0 1 0	000001000	13 83 11 50 60 46 6 25	14 54 31 68 60 48 7 12 6	14 57 16 47 51 50 7 16	00000000	0 0 0 3 0 0 0 0	00000000	0 2 0 2 1 0 0 1 0	0 2 0 3 0 0 2 4 0	0 2 0 3 3 5 2 3 1
E. SO. CEN.												_
Kentucky Tennessee Alabama <sup>3</sup> Mississippi <sup>3</sup> <sup>3</sup>	4 0 0 0	1 0 2 0	1 0 1 0	66 93 19 7	61 54 16 4	67 43 14 4	0 1 0 2	0000	000	7 5 3 2	0 0 0 1	2 2 2 1
W. SO. CEN. Arkansas Louisiana Oklahoma	0 2 0	0 0 1	0 1 0	8 10 27	13 18 43	9 16 48	0	2 0 0	2 0 1 5	1 1 3	8 3 0	3 4 1
Texas *	1	1	2	46	66	110	1	5	ľ	6	4	9
MOUNTAIN  Montana Idaho Wyoming Colorado New Mexico Arizona Utah ? Nevada	0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0	000000	24 14 8 29 6 5 6	30 4 14 36 16 14 25	35 29 14 36 18 14 25	0 0 0 12 2 0 0	0 0 4 0 0	7 3 0 4 0 0	1 0 0 2 2 0 0 3	0 7 0 1 6 3 0	0 0 1 2 0 0
PACIFIC												
Washington Oregon California	1 0 2	0 0 10	0 0 2	27 12 112	61 46 192	74 70 252	1 0 1	0 0 3	10 11 4	2 0 1	0 0 3	0 0 4
	37	33	26	3, 485	4, 528	6, 359	46	55	275	72	79	101
Total												

See footnotes at end of table.

Telegraphic morbidity reports from State health officers for the week ended January 28, 1941, and comparison with corresponding week of 1940 and 5-year median—Con.

	Whoop	ing cough		Whoop	ing cough
Division and State	Week	ended	Division and State	Weel	ended
	Jan. 25, 1941	Jan. 27, 1940		Jan. 25, 1941	Jan. 27, 1940
NEW ENG.  Maine	18 0 17 250	130 7 139 104 4 78	SO. ATL.—Continued  Georgia 1	<b>26</b> 7	9 5
Connecticut	89 851 126 494	405 69 849	Kentucky	46 64 26	84 18 10
E. NO. CEN. Ohio	332 22 108 331 149	80 23 85 102 103	Arkansas Louisiana Oklahoma Texas 1	24 7 20 138	17 1 5 60
W. NO. CEN.  Minnesota	49 15 42 32 3 2 93	47 5 11 0 21 3 22	Montana Idaho Wyoming Colorado New Mexico Arizona Utah 2 Nevada	10 57	5 6 12 32 62 12 149
SO. ATL.  Delaware	21 87 7 128 59 218 120	7 86 1 21 32 44 8	PACIFIC  Washington	96 16 318 4, 130	29 29 166 2, 678 10, 417

#### WEEKLY REPORTS FROM CITIES

City reports for week ended January 11, 1941

This table summarizes the reports received weekly from a selected list of 140 cities for the purpose of showing a cross section of the current urban incidence of the communicable diseases listed in the table.

Charles and aller	Diph-	Infl	uenza	Mea-	Pneu- monia	Scar- let		Tuber- culosis	Ty- phoid	Whoop-	Deaths,
State and city	theria cases	Cases	Deaths	sles cases	deaths	fever cases	cases	deaths	fever cases	cases	causes
Data for 90 cities: 5-year average Current week 1_	167 65	1, 078 5, 479	124 151	1, 872 4, 490	919 661	1, 540 640	28 9	356 334	20 16	1, 051 1, 498	
Maine: Portland New Hampshire: Concord Manchester Nashua	0	2	0 0 <del>0</del>	1 0 0	3 0 8	2 0 12 4	0 0 0	0 0 1	0	14 0 0 2	20 5 12

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Figures for Hartford, Wilmington, N. C., Shreveport, Boise, and Los Angeles (cases) estimated; reports not received.

New York City only.
 Period ended earlier than Saturday.
 Typhus fever, week ended January 25, 1941, 30 cases, as follows: North Carolina, 15; South Carolina, 2; Georgia, 5; Florida, 1; Alabama, 3; Mississippl, 2; Texas, 2.

#### City reports for week ended January 11, 1941—Continued

	Diph-	Infl	uenza	Mea-	Pneu-	Scar-	Small-	Tuber-	Ty-	Whooping	Donains,
State and city	theria cases	Cases	Deaths	sles cases	monia deaths	fever cases	pox	culosis deaths	fever cases	cough cases	causes
Vermont:											
Barre Burlington			8	0		0	0	0	0	8	1 5
Rutland	lŏ		ŏ	l ŏ	l ĭl	ŏ	8		ŏ	8	11 7
Massachusetts:	Ι.			100					_		
Boston Fall River	1 0		8 1	139 0	37 0	31 0	8	10 4	1	106	316 34
Springfield	0		Ō	1	1	15	0	0	0	2	42
Worcester Rhode Island:	0		0	87	17	10	0	3	0	2	75
Pawtucket	0	1	1	Q	o l	0	0	2	0	0	19
Providence Connecticut:	1	5	1	0	8	8	0	3	0	12	82
Bridgeport	0	11	0	0	6	2	0	lol	1	1	33
Hartford			0		<u>i</u> -	<del>-</del> -					
New Haven	"		U	٠	1	•	0	0	0	25	38
New York: Buffalo	0		0	72	6	12	١ ,	ا ا	•	20	100
New York	16	94	ĭ	1, 390	90	146	0	6 65	0 1	32 187	128 1, 571
Rochester	0		0	3	3	1	0	0	1	21	71
Syracuse New Jersey:	0		0	0	4	2	0	2	0	9	. 60
Camden	1	1	1	94	8	9	0	1	0	2	35
Newark Trenton	0	4 1	0	99	7 6	22 20	0	6	0	22 2	141 40
Pennsylvania:		1 1	-	ĺ	i I				-		
Philadelphia	1 1	36 15	6 3	612 5	25 17	90 7	0	25 9	2 1	115 67	598 191
Pittsburgh Reading	ō	10	ő	123	3	ó	0	اة	ō	16	25
Scranton	Ō			1		1	Ō		Ō	0	
Ohio:		1 1									
Cincinnati	0	7	2.	10	12	9	0	4	0	3	150
Cleveland Columbus	1- 1	67	0	239 13	16 5	25 2	0	9	0	89 19	215 97
Toledo	Õ	<del>-</del> -	ō	2	5	ē	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	27	97 71
Indiana:	0		0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	7
Anderson Fort Wayne	ŏ	i	0	0	4	5	0	1	0	Ò	38
Indianapolis	10		5	8 1	15 2	14	0	9	8	10 0	102 13
Muncie South Bend	0		ŏ	i	í	6	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	19
Terre Haute	Ŏ		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25
Illinois: Alton	0	2	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	o	14
Chicago	4	18	1	697	38	153	0	32	Õ	59	761
Elgin	0		0	2 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	14 10
Moline Springfield	ŏ		ŏ	2	7	8	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	6	24
Michigan:				600	23	105	2	13	0	207	286
Detroit Flint.	4	10	1 0	690 10	4	105	ő	13	0 1	15	35
Grand Rapids	Ŏ	1	Ō	12	7	4	0	1	0	25	40
Wisconsin: Kenosha	0		o	1	o	0	0	0	اه	اه	17
Madison	0		1	2	0	2	0	0	Ò	1	21
Milwaukee	0		0	28 0	4 0	29 3	0	0	0	28 1	89 23
Racine Superior	ŏ		ŏ	2	ĭ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	3	10
Minnesota:											
Duluth	0		0	0	3	2	5	0	0	.7	36
Minneapolis	1 0	;-	1	2	1 8	12 15	0	1 3	8	15 26	109 45
St. Paul Iowa:	۰	-	- 1	۱	١			- 1	Ť		
Cedar Rapids	0			Ŏ.		1 2	0		0	0	
Davenport Des Moines	0 13			0		5	2	0	0	0	87
Sioux City	0			0		3	0		Ņ.	4 3	
Waterloo Missouri:	3			1		3	0		0	o l	
Kansas City	0	1	4	3	10	5	0	1	0	13	119
St. Joseph	0		2	3 0	2 21	0 36	0	1	0	2 19	29 213
St. Louis North Dakota:	z	47	ا۲	- 1		į		• 1			
Fargo	ŏ			0		0	0		0	10 0	
Grand Forks Minot	8		ō-	0	ō-	öl	öl		ŏ	ĭ	7
	٠,		٠.	٠.				- •			

City reports for week ended January 11, 1941—Continued

<b>M</b> A-A	Diph	* I	luenza	Mea-		Scar- let	Small	Tuber	Ty- phoid	Whooping	Destus,
State and city	theris cases		Deaths	sles cases	monia death	f	cased	culosis death	70	cases	all causes
South Dakota:											
Aberdeen Sioux Falls	8		0	0	ō	0 2	0	ō	0	1 0	4
Nebraska:			1	l		_	ì	1	l -	i	
LincolnOmaha	1 0		0	2 2	5	8	0	B	0	1 0	58
Kansas:	١	47	0	10	1			0	0	0	7
Lawrence Topeka	Ö	21	O.	10	1	2	0	ŏ	Ó	5	2
Wichita	0	54	1	0	10	2	1	1	0	13	33
Delaware:	١.			_			١.			_	
Wilmington Maryland:	0			3	2	1	0	0	0	5	35
Baltimore	4	20	2	8	12	17	0	6	0	55	245
Cumberland Frederick	0		0	0		0	0	0	0	0	12 2
Dist. of Col.:	ŀ			-		-		1			
Washington Virginia:	1	90	0	4	11	22	0	10	2	10	207
Lynchburg	1		0	ō	1 1	0	Ŏ	. 0	0	4	16
Norfolk Richmond	0	199	0	5 2	2 2	2	0	2	0	1	24 55
Roanoke	ŏ		ŏ	26	3	ŏ	Ŏ	Õ	ŏ	4	21
West Virginia: Charleston	0	3	0	0	8	0	0	1	0	0	29
Huntington	1	12		2		Ó	0		0	1	
Wheeling North Carolina:	0		0	1	8	2	0	0	0	4.	14
Gastonia	0			0		9	0		Q	.0	
Raleigh Wilmington	0		0	0	4	4	0	0	0	13	10
Winston-Salem.	1		1	2	1	0	0	1	0	40	. 12
South Carolina: Charleston	0	267	0	22	5	0	0	1	0	1	23
Florence	Ö		0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	16
GreenvilleGeorgia:	1		0	0	2	0	0	0	0	6	17
Atlanta	0	853	11	4	5	3	0	0	o l	2	102 7
Brunswick Savannah	0	224	0	0 1	2 2	0	0	0	0	0	35
Florida:	-					2	0		I	2	40
Miami Tampa	0	10 16	1 1	1	3 2	ő	ŏ	1 1	1 1	í	46 28
Kentucky:		1 1				- 1			- 1	- 1	
Ashland	0	85	0	0	0	0	0	2	. 0	1	6
Covington Lexington	0	6	8	11 53	2 4	2 0	0	3	8	0 2	15 20
Tennessee:	_		- 1		-	- 1	· ·	_	· 1		
Knoxville Memphis	1 1	3, 250 276	2 12	1 19	3 10	6	0	2 4	0	0	25 135
Nashville	Ö		2	3	9	3	ŏ	ō	ô	4	57
Alabama: Birmingham	4	45	4	20	6	2	ol	2	o	1	68
Mobile	0	70	8	2	Š	2	0	ō	Ó [	. 0	33
Montgomery	0	53		3		1	0		0	0	
Arkansas: Fort Smith	0	51		0		1	0	.	0	0	•
Little Rock	ŏ	300	i	ŏ	8	i	ŏ	1	ŏ	ŏŀ	52
Louisiana: Lake Charles	1	1	0	اه	3	اه	0	0	0	o	15
New Orleans	i	59	8	ŏ	13	2	ŏ	11	ŏ	4	162
ShreveportOklahoma:					-	-		-	-	-	
Oklahoma City_	0	198	0	0	8	1	0	2	0	0	69
Tulsa Texas:	0		0	0	9	0	0	0	0	2	30
Dallas	0	11	3	.0	6	5	o l	5	0	1	88
Fort Worth Galveston	1 0		1 0	25 0	8	0 2	0	1	0 0	8	51 19
Houston	2	1, 404	6	0	9	2	Ŏ	6	0	0	92 97
San Antonio	0	16	10	0	19	١٣	٧l	**	١	٠Į	. 91
Montana: Billings	اه	8	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	18
Great Falls	0	34	0	0	ā	i	0	0 1	٥ŀ	ĕ	. 15
Helena Missoula	8	170 154	0	8	5 1 2	0	8	ě	0	8	. 15 6 5
		AUT I	• •	· · ·			• •	• •	• •	~ ,	•

#### City reports for week ended January 11, 1941—Continued

State and city	Diph- theria	Inf	luenza	Mea- sles	Pneu-	Scar- let		Tuber-	Ty- phoid	Whoop-	Deaus,
	cases	Cases	Deaths	Cases	monia deaths		pox	culosis deaths	fever cases	cough	all causes
Idaho: Boise											
Colorado:											
Colorado Springs.	0		0	1	3	1	0	2	0	1	16
Denver	2	191	9	14	14	5	0	6	1	15	112
Pueblo	0		1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	8
New Mexico:		۱					Ι.				
Albuquerque	0	16	0	0	2	2	0	3	0	0	12
Utah:		l		١.			1 _	1	_		
Salt Lake City.	0		0	0	6	2	0	0	0	10	41
Washington:		i		ł							
Seattle	0	Į	6	1	5	2	1 0	5		3	01
Spokane	ŏ	2	2	ĺ	lő	6	l ŏ	1 1	0	1	91
Tacoma	ŏ	-	8	2	2	ŏ	l ŏ	6	ŏ	•	48 40
Oregon:				•	•		١ ،	ا ا		-	750
Portland	1	55	1	6	7	3	0	3	0	0	97
Salem	ō	66	l <del>.</del> .	ĭ	·	ŏ	l ŏ	ا ۱	ŏ	2	•
California:		"		_		ľ	ľ		•	- 1	
Los Angeles	3	391	8	5	8	20	l 0	31	0	52	503
Sacramento	Ō	15	1	i	6	Ö	l ŏ	3	ŏ	4	38
San Francisco	1	110	4	1	6	7	Ŏ	3	ĭ	42	192
		1	1			<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>			

State and city		ngitis, oco <b>cus</b>	Polio- mye- litis	State and city		ngitis, ococcus	Polio- mye- litis
	Cases	Deaths	cases		Cases	Deaths	cases
Rhode Island: Providence New York:	0	0	1	Missouri: Kansas City District of Columbia:	0	0	1
New York Pennsylvania: Philadelphia	3 0	0	2 1	Washington Florida: Miami Colorado:	0	0	1
Ohio: Cleveland Illinois:	0	0	1	Denver	1	0	0
Chicago	0	0	1				

#### TERRITORIES AND POSSESSIONS

#### VIRGIN ISLANDS OF THE UNITED STATES

Notifiable diseases—October-December 1940.—During the months of October, November, and December 1940, cases of certain notifiable diseases were reported in the Virgin Islands of the United States as follows:

Disease	October	November	December
Filariasis Gonorrhea Hookworm diseasa	1 6 5	8 8 5	10 7 8 1
Measies Pneumonia. Rehistosomiasia	1 19	49	1 1 17
Syphilis	1	4	2

Encephalitis, epidemic or lethargic.—Cases: St. Paul, 1.

Pellagra.—Cases: Charleston, S. C., 2.

Typhus fever.—Cases: Raleigh, 2; Brunswick, 1; Savannah, 1; San Antonio, 1. Deaths: New Orleans, 1.

#### FOREIGN REPORTS

#### CANADA

Provinces—Communicable diseases—Week ended December 21, 1940.— During the week ended December 21, 1940, cases of certain communicable diseases were reported by the Department of Pensions and National Health of Canada as follows:

Disease .	Prince Edward Island	Nova Scotia	New Bruns- wick	Que- bec	Onta-	Mani- toba	Sas- katch- ewan	Alber-	British Colum- bia	
Cerebrospinal meningitis. Chickenpox Diphtheria. Dyseniery. Influenza Measies Mumps Pneumonia Scarlet fever Tuberculosis. Typhoid and paratyphoid fever	1	10 24 711 95 6 25 20	8	2 228 41 65 49 107 63 29	9 398 1 55 67 282 52 17 107 32	1 50 4 49 125 12 4 10 48	98 76 1	90  156 13 1	645 143 9 10 18	25 881 75 55 1,570 930 136 37 288 169
Whooping cough			i	161	149	15	19		17	362

### WORLD DISTRIBUTION OF CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER

From medical officers of the Public Health Service, American consuls, International Office of Public Health, Pan American Sanitary Bureau, health section of the League of Nations, and other sources. The reports contained in the following tables must not be considered as complete or final as regards either the list of countries included or the figures for the particular countries for which reports are given.

#### **CHOLERA**

[C indicates cases; D, deaths]

NOTE.—Since many of the figures in the following tables are from weekly reports, the accumulated total are for approximate dates.

Place	Place						December 1940—week ended—				
<del></del>		October 1940	ber 1940	7	14	21	28				
Ceylon	. с		1								
China: Dairen	. c	2									
Foochow	C	578	34								
Hong Kong	_ C	804	44	l			l				
Macao	C	488	25				l				
Manchuria	. C	31									
Shanghai	. Ç	563	8								
Shantung Province		244									
ndi <u>a</u>	- Ç	43, 094									
Bassein	- C	164									
Bombay		13									
Calcutta	Ž	2,008 333									
Cawnpore		333									
Chittagong		65									
Karachi Madras	- č	00									
Moulmein	- 🛚	16									
Porto Novo	. č.	10									
Rangoon	• ~	43			10	6					
Vizagapatam	- ă	21			10		l				
ndia (French)		34									
ndochina (French)		436									
hailand	. č	235		1							

#### PLAGUE

[C indicates cases: D. deaths]

Place	Janu- ary- October 1940	Novem- ber 1940	December 1940—week ended—				
			7	14	21	28	
AFRICA							
Algeria C	22					1	
Plague-infected rats	2 23						
Belgian Congo	ه ا						
KenyaC	9	l	l		l	l	
Uganda C	171						
EgyptC	1 409 489	62	23		10		
Madagascar C Morocco <sup>3</sup> C	30	02	23		10	1 19	
Rhodesia, Northern	ĭ	I					
Senegal:							
Dakar D	* <u>1</u>						
Thies C Tivaouane C	3						
Tunisia: Tunis C	Ĭĕ	4					
Plague-infected rats	1						
Union of South Africa	25	46					
AIRA	Į.		ļ	ı	l	l	
China.	ĺ	i	ł	l	1	l	
Dutch East Indies:		į.	ĺ	l	l	l	
Java and Madura	325 8						
India C	14, 438						
Bassein	18						
Cochin	1						
Plague-infected rats	5 6						
Rangoon C Indochina (French) C	ន	1		1			
Thailand:	_	_		1			
Bangkok C	3			- <b>-</b>			
Plague-infected rats	2						
Bisnulok Province C Chingmai C	3						
Dhonpuri Province	ĭ						
Javanad Province C	3	1					
Kamphaeng Bajr Province	29 12						
Kanchanapuri Province C Koan Kaen Province C	5						
Nagara Svarga Province C	30						
Noangkhay Province C	4						
Sukhodaya Province C	22						
EUROPE		l		1		ĺ	
Portugal: Azores Islands C	2	1					
SOUTH AMERICA		l				l	
Argentina:		Ī		1		l	
Catamarca Province C Cordoba Province C	, 8 , 32						
Jujuy Province C	9						
La Rioia Provinca	i						
Salta Province	8						
San Luis Province	79	1					
Santiago del Estero Province C Tucuman Province C	21						

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Includes 5 cases of pneumonic plague.

<sup>2</sup> A report dated May 11, 1940, also stated that there was an epidemic of bubonic plague in southern Moroeco where several hundred cases had been unofficially reported.

Imported.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Imported.

<sup>4</sup> Pneumonic.

<sup>4</sup> Pneumonic.

<sup>5</sup> Information dated July 7 states that up to July 6, 17 cases of plague had been reported near Tungliso,

<sup>8</sup> Information dated July 7 states that up to July 13 states that an outbreak of bubonic plague occurred

Raingan Province, China; and a report dated July 13 states that outbreak of bubonic plague occurred

along the Yunnan-Baurma border in the districts of Loiwing, Chefang, Juili, and Muchieh. Information

dated Aug. 17 states that 45 cases of plague with 36 deaths have occurred in Ningen District and a telegram

dated Oct. 2 states that 15 cases of bubonic plague with 3 deaths occurred in Hsinking, Manchuria. During
the week ended Nov. 16, 1940, an epidemic of bubonic plague was reported in Ningpo District, Chekiang

Province, China.

<sup>6</sup> Includes 15 cases of pneumonic plague.

#### PLAGUE-Continued

[C indicates cases; D, deaths]

Place January-October 1940	ary-	Novem-	December 1940—week ended—				
	ber 1940	7	14	21	28		
SOUTH AMERICA—continued							
Brazil:   Alagoas State	9 4 6 1 28 13 49 54 6 7 20	2 3 2					
Hawaii Territory: Plague-infected rats	43	1	* 3	4	2	1	

#### **SMALLPOX**

[C indicates cases; D, deaths]

AFRICA		l	l		I	
AlgeriaO	5	1	l	l	l	l
AngolaC	103		l	l	1	
Belgian Congo	3, 343					
British East Africa	54					
Dahomey	71	10				
French Guines	13	1 3				°
Gibraltar C	11	°				
	113	18				
Ivory CoastC		18				1
NigeriaC	2, 146					
Niger Territory C	599	41			11	2
Nyasaland	73	1				
Portuguese East Africa	1					
Rhodesia:					1	i
NorthernC	6					l
SouthernC	225	15	l			
Senegal C	145	4				11
Sierra Leone	10					
Sudan (Anglo-Egyptian)Č	522	7				8
Sudan (French)	1	2				۰
Union of South Africa.	106					
Onion of bound mired	100					
ASIA						
Arabia C	255					
China C	831	2				
Chosen C	541					
Dutch East Indies—Sebang C	4			1		
IndiaC	154, 740					
India (French)	5					
India (Portuguese)	20					
Indochina (French) Č	1, 436	51				3 42
Iran C	177	0.				- 45
Irag	617	157	20			
JapanO	500	13/				
Straits Settlements O		- 1				
	1 1					
	100					
Thailand C	189	12			2	
EUROPE	1	I	- 1	- 1		
Great Britain	2	l		ı	ı	
Greece	23					
Portugal C						
	354					
SpainC	756	20				
Turkey C	139 l		l	1		
1 Imported						

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Imported.

 <sup>7</sup> Includes 3 suspected cases.
 8 During the week ended Dec. 7, a mass inoculation of 12 rats and 1 mouse was also reported.

For the month of December.

#### PLAGUE-Continued

[C indicates cases; D, deaths]

Place	Janu- ary- October	Novem- ber 1940	December 1940—week ended—			
	1940	7	14	21	28	
Canada	9 35 55	3	2	3		
SOUTH AMERICA   C	288 3 1,730 1 212 194	19		5		

#### TYPHUS FEVER

[C indicates cases; D, deaths]

(C murates cases)	, ,, ,						,
AFRICA			1				
Algeria	: I	1,819	146				1 181
Belgian Congo	5 l	1, 210	1				
British East Africa.	٦١	2, 2					
Egypt	5 I	3, 615	21				
Egypt	۲I	40					
Entres	٦I.	277					
Morocco Rhodesia, Northern	۲I	7.7				<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>
Rhodesia, Northern	≼ I	515	24	25	60		<u>-</u>
Tunisia.	۲ I	154		6	•		۰°
Union of South Africa.	1	107		ľ			
ASIA	_			l		1	l
China	7	2, 127	17				
Chosen	וכ	359					
India (	7	3					
Indochina (French)		2		l			
Iran (Transconding (Transcondi	7	233					
Iraq	<b>7</b>	156	2				l
Japan	) I	2	l			<b> </b>	l
Palestine	וכ	175	29				
Straits Settlements	5 I	10		l		l	l
Sumatra	5	3 1		l			l
Trans-Jordan	5	15			l	l	l
Trans-Jordan	-						i
EUROPE	.		9	1			ļ
Bulgaria	2	145	9	1			
Germany	2	213	2		i-	1	
Greece	2	37	2	1	†	1 1	1 1
Himpary	2	78			1		
Trich Free State		10					
Lithuania	7	115		l <u></u> -		j	
Rumania	7	1, 259	27	10	21	42	44
Spain	7	14					<b>-</b>
Tuekay	וכ	519					
Yugoslavia(	וכ	282					
•	ı						
NORTH AMERICA	- 1	~~*	20				
Guatemala	21	281	3	1			
Maxico	2	199	0				
Panama Canal Zone	'	3					
SOUTH AMERICA	1						l
	. I	626					
BoliviaChile	5	312					
Ecuador		2					
K/(TIR/IC)F		988					
PATI	<u> </u>	12				l	l
Venezuela	1			22232		1	
OCEANIA	.					l	l
Anstralia	2	11		2			
Hawaii Territory	וי	22	4	_ Z			
LLUT WOO & VALUE J . COOCCESCOCCICACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACAC	1			·			

<sup>1</sup> For the month of December.
2 For the month of July.

#### YELLOW FEVER

[C indicates cases; D, deaths]

Place	Janu- ary-	Novem-	December 1940—week ended—				
	October 1940	ber 1940	7	14	21	28	
AFRICA							
Belgian Congo: Yatolet	11 11 11 25	1					
Ibadan	11	773					
SOUTH AMERICA   Bahia State	140 21 55 2 2 11 11 31 8						



<sup>1</sup> Suspected.
2 Includes 3 suspected cases.
3 A report dated November 13, 1940, states that 8,000 cases of yellow ever with 800 deaths have been reported in Kordofan Province, Anglo-Egyptian Sudan.
4 Includes 28 deaths from jungle type.
5 Includes 1 death from jungle type.